James A. Hunter (pro hac pending)
New York State Bar No. 4346607
HUNTER & KMIEC
150 East 44th Street, No. 9A
New York, New York 10017

Tel: (646) 666-0122 Fax: (646) 462-3356

E-Mail: hunter@hunterkmiec.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc.

James E. Pennington Texas State Bar No. 15758510 SUN RIVER ENERGY, INC. 5950 Berkshire Lane, Suite 1650 Dallas, Texas 75225

Tel: (214) 369-7300 Fax: (214) 369-7301

General Counsel for Plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORTH WORTH DIVISION

Involuntary Chapter 7 No. 11-47029 (DML)
Adversary Case No
COMPLAINT FOR RECOVERY OF SHORT-SWING PROFITS UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 78p(b)

Plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc., through its attorneys Hunter & Kmiec, hereby complains of Defendants, averring as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This adversary proceeding arises under Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 78p(b). Section 16 is the "original and only express 'insider' trading provision[]" in the Act. Richard W. Jennings et al., Securities Regulation: Cases and Materials 1202 (8th ed. 1998). Its stated purpose is to "prevent[] the unfair use of information" that an insider may have "by reason of his relationship to the issuer." § 78p(a).
- 2. Section 16 of the Act applies to the directors and officers of any issuer with a class of publicly traded equity securities. 15 U.S.C. § 78p(a), (b). It also applies to each beneficial owner of more than 10% of the outstanding shares of any such class. *Id.* If any such officer, director, or beneficial owner realizes a profit from the purchase and sale, or sale and purchase, of any equity security of the issuer within a period of less than six months, Section 16(b) requires him to disgorge that profit to the issuer. § 78p(b). If he fails to return his "short-swing" profit to the issuer, Section 16(b) authorizes the issuer to bring suit against him to recover it. *See id.*
- 3. A plaintiff does not need to prove scienter or intentional wrongdoing to recover short-swing profit. *See Reliance Electric Co. v. Emerson Electric Co.*, 404 U.S. 418, 422 (1972). A defendant may be found liable regardless of whether he actually misused nonpublic information, *see id.*; regardless of whether he engaged in a transaction at the issuer's request, *see Tex. Int'l Airlines v. Nat'l Airlines, Inc.*, 714 F.2d 533, 536 (5th Cir. 1983); and regardless of whether he even knew of the statute, *see Selas Corp. of Am. v. Voogd*, 365 F. Supp. 1268, 1271 (E.D. Pa. 1973). Once a plaintiff

Case 12-04012-dml Doc 1 Filed 02/10/12 Entered 02/10/12 18:04:45 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 23

establishes that the defendant has engaged in a profitable short-swing transaction, the defendant's liability is "strict." *Reliance Electric*, 404 U.S. at 422 (internal quotation marks omitted).

- 4. Congress enacted Section 16(b) knowing that the statute could be a trap for the unwary. It believed the statute's deterrent effect would be undermined if liability turned on proving an actual misuse of inside information. *See id.* ("[Strict liability] maximized the ability of the rule to eradicate speculative abuses by reducing difficulties in proof. Such arbitrary and sweeping coverage was deemed necessary to insure the optimum prophylactic effect." (internal quotation marks omitted)). In Congress's view, a "mechanical" rule ensured "that the abuse at which the statute is aimed will be effectively curbed." *Tex. Int'l Airlines*, 714 F.2d at 539.
- 5. In this case, plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc. ("Sun River") seeks to recover short-swing profit from Harry Neal McMillan ("McMillan") and his affiliates Cicerone Corporate Development, LLC ("Cicerone"), CE McMillan Family Trust (the "Trust"), and JH Brech, LLC ("Brech" and, collectively with McMillan, Cicerone, and the Trust, the "Defendants"). The Defendants realized this profit through scores of transactions in Sun River's common stock and derivative securities in 2010 and 2011. Many of these transactions the Defendants failed to disclose to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") in a timely and accurate fashion or at all. Under the inexorable provisions of Section 16(b) of the Act, this profit is Sun River's lawful property, which the Defendants are strictly liable to account for and repay.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court (a) by Section 27 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa; (b) by 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(B) and 1334(b); and (c) by that certain Standing Order of Referral of Cases to Bankruptcy Judges dated as of August 3, 1984.
- 7. Venue is properly laid in this District (a) under Section 27 of the Act (i) because some or all of the purchases and sales of securities described herein were executed in, and the Defendants' unlawfully retained short-swing profits were consequently realized in, this District; (ii) because each Defendant transacts business in this District; and (iii) because each Defendant is found in this District; and (b) under 28 U.S.C. § 1409(a) because this matter relates to a proceeding arising under Chapter 7 of Title 11, U.S. Code, which is currently pending against McMillan before the Honorable D. Michael Lynn, U.S. Bankruptcy Judge, in the case styled *In re McMillan*, No. 11-47029-7 (N.D. Tex. Bankr. filed Dec. 21, 2011) (the "Chapter 7 Bankruptcy").
- 8. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B) because it relates to the allowance or disallowance of claims against McMillan's estate. If the Court determines this action to be a non-core proceeding, Sun River consents to entry of final orders or judgment by the Bankruptcy Judge.

THE PARTIES

Parties to This Action

9. Plaintiff Sun River is a corporation organized under the law of the State of Colorado and having a principal place of business in Dallas, Texas.

- 10. Defendant McMillan is a natural person and a resident of Texas.McMillan is the debtor named in the involuntary petition filed in the Chapter 7Bankruptcy.
- 11. Defendant Cicerone is a limited liability company organized under the law of the State of Texas and having a principal place of business in Trophy Club, Texas. McMillan was a member of Cicerone at all relevant times until approximately May 2, 2011. From approximately April 8, 2011 until approximately May 2, 2011, McMillan was Cicerone's sole member and manager, and McMillan has had sole control over Cicerone at all relevant times since approximately April 8, 2011.
- 12. Defendant Trust is a revocable trust formed on or about April 1, 2011 for the benefit of McMillan and members of his immediate family. McMillan is the trustee of the Trust. On or about May 2, 2011, the Trust acquired from McMillan all of the outstanding membership interests in Cicerone.
- 13. Defendant Brech is a limited liability company organized under the law of the State of Texas and having a principal place of business in Texas. McMillan is a member of Brech and has de facto or de jure control over it.

Other Relevant Parties

14. Joshua Pingel ("Pingel") is a natural person and a resident of the State of Colorado. Pingel was the managing member of Cicerone at all relevant times until approximately April 8, 2011, when he sold all of his interest in Cicerone to McMillan.

- 15. New Mexico Energy, LLC ("<u>NME</u>") is a limited liability company organized under the law of the State of Colorado. From approximately February 8, 2011 until approximately June 30, 2011, McMillan was NME's sole member and manager.
- 16. Robert Doak ("<u>Doak</u>") is a natural person and a resident of the State of Colorado. At all relevant times until approximately February 8, 2011, Doak was NME's sole member and manager. On or about February 8, 2011, Doak sold all of his interest in NME to McMillan.

STATUTORY REQUISITES

- 17. The violations of Section 16(b) of the Act described herein involve non-exempt securities in non-exempt transactions engaged in by non-exempt persons within the meaning of the Act.
- 18. At all relevant times, Sun River's common stock was registered under Section 12 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78*l*, and was listed for trading on the OTC Bulletin Board.
- 19. This action is brought within two years of the occurrence of the violations described herein or within two years of the time when reports required by Section 16(a) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78p(a), setting forth the substance of the transactions here complained of were first filed with the SEC.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. At all relevant times, McMillan, Cicerone, and Brech each beneficially owned in excess of 10% of the outstanding shares of Sun River's common

Case 12-04012-dml Doc 1 Filed 02/10/12 Entered 02/10/12 18:04:45 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 23

stock. At all relevant times since the Trust's formation on or about April 1, 2011, the Trust beneficially owned in excess of 10% of the outstanding shares of Sun River's common stock.

- 21. The shares of Sun River's common stock beneficially owned by each Defendant as described in paragraph 20 above included shares owned in such Defendant's own name as well as shares owned by other Defendants but imputed to such Defendant by Section 13(d) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(d), and Rules 13d-5(b)(1) and 16a-1(a)(1). By virtue of such law and rules, each Defendant was deemed to beneficially own all shares of Sun River's common stock owned by any of the Defendants.
- 22. Each Defendant was deemed to beneficially own all shares of Sun River's common stock owned by any of the Defendants as described in paragraph 21 above because the Defendants acted together as a group for the purpose of serving McMillan's investment objectives and influencing control of Sun River. McMillan had sole or shared power to vote or to dispose of all shares of Sun River common stock (or derivative securities thereof) owned in his own name or in the names of Cicerone, the Trust, or Brech. McMillan dominated and controlled each of Cicerone, the Trust, and Brech at all relevant times, and each of Cicerone, the Trust, and Brech agreed to act subject to his control for purposes of their collective investment in Sun River's common stock and derivative securities.
- 23. While each Defendant beneficially owned in excess of 10% of the outstanding shares of Sun River's common stock as described in paragraph 22 above, the

Case 12-04012-dml Doc 1 Filed 02/10/12 Entered 02/10/12 18:04:45 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 23

Defendants engaged in a series of short-swing transactions in that stock as described in the following paragraphs.

<u>Cicerone Acquires the Doak and NME Options:</u> <u>Purchase of 6,117,233 Shares</u> (August 3, 2010)

- 24. On or about August 3, 2010, Doak sold to Cicerone an option (the "<u>Doak Option</u>"), exercisable on or before August 3, 2012, to purchase 2,941,666 shares of Sun River's common stock at a price equal to \$1.50 per share.
- 25. On or about August 3, 2010, NME sold to Cicerone an option (the "NME Option"), exercisable on or before August 3, 2012, to purchase 3,175,567 shares of Sun River's common stock at a price equal to \$1.50 per share.
- 26. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, Cicerone's purchase of the options described in paragraphs 24-25 above was equivalent in all relevant respects to the purchase by Cicerone of 6,117,233 shares of Sun River's common stock at the contemporaneous market price for such stock.
- 27. Sun River's best estimate for the contemporaneous market price of its common stock as described in paragraph 26 above is \$1.55 per share, such price being the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on August 3, 2010.

Cicerone Receives Sun River Common Stock in Exchange for Canceling Certain Indebtedness: Purchase of 312,363 Shares (August 6, 2010)

28. On or about August 6, 2010, Cicerone agreed to accept 312,363 shares of Sun River's common stock in consideration for the cancellation of

\$468,541.48 of principal and accrued interest due on certain promissory notes previously issued by Sun River to Cicerone.

29. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the transaction described in paragraph 28 above was equivalent in all relevant respects to the purchase by Cicerone of 312,363 shares of Sun River's common stock at a purchase price equal to \$1.50 per share.

<u>Doak and Cicerone Amend the Doak Option:</u> <u>Simultaneous Purchase and Sale of 2,941,666 Shares</u> (September 21, 2010)

- 30. On or about September 21, 2010, Doak and Cicerone agreed to amend the terms of the Doak Option by (a) extending the expiration date of the Doak Option from August 3, 2012 to September 21, 2012; and (b) changing the exercise price from \$1.50 per share to an exercise price equal to (i) \$1.00 per share for 1,000,000 of such shares, (ii) \$2.00 per share for 1,000,000 of such shares; and (iii) \$1.50 per share for 941,666 of such shares.
- 31. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the amendment of the Doak Option as described in paragraph 30 above was equivalent in all relevant respects to the repurchase by Doak of Cicerone's outstanding option to purchase 2,941,666 shares of Sun River's common stock and the simultaneous sale by Doak to Cicerone of a new option to purchase 2,941,666 shares of Sun River's common stock on the amended terms.
- 32. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the simultaneous purchase and sale of the options described in paragraph 31

Case 12-04012-dml Doc 1 Filed 02/10/12 Entered 02/10/12 18:04:45 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 23

above were equivalent in all relevant respects to the simultaneous purchase and sale by Cicerone of 2,941,666 shares of Sun River's common stock at the contemporaneous market price for such stock.

33. Sun River's best estimate for the contemporaneous market price of its common stock as described in paragraph 32 above is \$1.60 per share, such price being the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on September 21, 2010.

Cicerone Receives Sun River Stock and Warrants as Payment for Consulting Services: Purchase of 240,000 Shares (September 30, 2010 Through February 8, 2011)

- 34. On July 31, 2009, Sun River and Cicerone entered into a consulting agreement (as amended and extended on July 15, 2010, the "Consulting Agreement").
- 35. The Consulting Agreement obligated Cicerone to provide consulting services to Sun River and obligated Sun River to pay for such services by periodically issuing to Cicerone shares of Sun River's common stock and warrants to purchase shares of such stock.
- 36. Each warrant issued under the Consulting Agreement as described in paragraph 35 above was exercisable (a) on or before the second anniversary of its date of issue, (b) at a price per share equal to the closing sale price of Sun River's common stock on the last trading day of the month in which the relevant services were performed, and (c) on a "cashless" or "net issue" basis.

- 37. Pursuant to the Consulting Agreement, Sun River issued to Cicerone, as consideration for Cicerone's consulting services, the following shares of Sun River's common stock on the following dates:
 - (a) 20,000 shares on September 30, 2010;
 - (b) 20,000 shares on October 19, 2010;
 - (c) 20,000 shares on November 30, 2010;
 - (d) 20,000 shares on December 31, 2010; and
 - (e) 20,000 shares on February 8, 2011.
- 38. Pursuant to the Consulting Agreement, Sun River issued to Cicerone, as consideration for Cicerone's consulting services, the following warrants on the following dates:
 - (a) warrants on September 30, 2010 to purchase 40,000 shares of Sun River's common stock;
 - (b) warrants on October 19, 2010 to purchase 20,000 shares of Sun River's common stock;
 - (c) warrants on November 30, 2010 to purchase 20,000 shares of Sun River's common stock;
 - (d) warrants on December 31, 2010 to purchase 20,000 shares of Sun River's common stock;
 - (e) warrants on January 3, 2011 to purchase 20,000 shares of Sun River's common stock; and

- (f) warrants on February 8, 2011 to purchase 20,000 shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 39. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the transactions described in paragraphs 37-38 above were equivalent in all relevant respects to Cicerone's purchase of an aggregate of 240,000 shares of Sun River's common stock on the following dates and at the following prices:

Number of Shares Purchased	Price per Share
40,000	\$1.80
20,000	\$1.80
20,000	\$1.95
20,000	\$1.95
20,000	\$2.22
20,000	\$2.22
20,000	\$2.79
20,000	\$2.79
20,000	\$2.56
20,000	\$4.70
20,000	\$4.70
	Purchased 40,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000

40. Each price set forth in the last column of the table in paragraph 39 above is the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on the corresponding date set forth in the first column of the table. Each such price is Sun River's best estimate of (a) in the case of the shares issued to Cicerone as described in paragraph 37 above, the per share value of such shares, and (b) in the case of the warrants

issued to Cicerone as described in paragraph 38 above, the contemporaneous market price per share of Sun River's common stock.

McMillan Acquires NME: Purchase of 2,851,666 Shares (February 8, 2011)

- 41. On or about February 8, 2011, McMillan entered into an agreement with Doak pursuant to which McMillan purchased from Doak 100% of the outstanding membership interests in NME for \$1,000 (the "NME Purchase").
- 42. At the time of the NME Purchase, NME's sole asset was 2,851,666 shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 43. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the NME Purchase was equivalent in all relevant respects to McMillan's purchase of 2,851,666 shares of Sun River's common stock for aggregate consideration equal to \$1,000, or approximately \$0.0004 per share.

<u>Cicerone "Cashlessly" Exercises Warrants:</u> <u>Sale of 203,607 Shares</u> (January 3, 2011 Through March 7, 2011)

- 44. From time to time from and including approximately January 3, 2011 to and including approximately March 7, 2011, Cicerone exercised certain warrants it owned to purchase shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 45. Cicerone elected to exercise some or all of its warrants as described in paragraph 44 above on a "cashless" basis. Pursuant to the terms of those warrants, Cicerone paid the exercise price in each case by allowing Sun River to withhold some of the shares that otherwise would have been issuable upon exercise. The number

of shares withheld in each case was determined by dividing the aggregate exercise price of the exercised warrants by the contemporaneous market price per share of Sun River's common stock.

46. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the cashless exercise of Cicerone's warrants as described in paragraphs 44-45 above was equivalent in all relevant respects to Cicerone's sale of an aggregate of 203,607 shares of Sun River's common stock on the following dates and at the following prices:

Date of Sale	Number of Shares Sold	Price per Share
01/03/2011	7,000	\$2.44
01/04/2011	6,500	\$2.65
01/05/2011	2,500	\$2.75
01/11/2011	1,000	\$2.73
01/12/2011	1,000	\$2.80
02/03/2011	42,000	\$4.12
03/07/2011	6,337	\$5.05
03/07/2011	6,733	\$5.05
03/07/2011	7,723	\$5.05
03/07/2011	10,812	\$5.05
03/07/2011	8,158	\$5.05
03/07/2011	16,832	\$5.05
03/07/2011	11,050	\$5.05
03/07/2011	8,792	\$5.05
03/07/2011	8,119	\$5.05
03/07/2011	7,129	\$5.05
03/07/2011	6,178	\$5.05

Date of Sale	Number of Shares Sold	Price per Share	
03/07/2011	5,980	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	6,535	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	5,743	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	6,535	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	8,119	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	6,733	\$5.05	
03/07/2011	6,099	\$5.05	

<u>Cicerone and McMillan Settle a Dispute with Pingel:</u> <u>Acquisition of Approximately 3,500,000 Shares by McMillan</u> <u>and Sale of 350,000 Shares by Cicerone</u> (April 8, 2011)

- 47. On or about April 8, 2011, Cicerone and McMillan entered into a settlement agreement (the "Cicerone Settlement Agreement") with Pingel that resolved certain disputes relating to the ownership and management of Cicerone.
- 48. Pursuant to the terms of the Cicerone Settlement Agreement,

 (a) McMillan agreed to acquire from Pingel all of the interest that Pingel then owned in

 Cicerone, with the result that McMillan became Cicerone's sole owner and manager; and

 (b) Cicerone transferred to Pingel 350,000 shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 49. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, McMillan's acquisition of Pingel's interest in Cicerone as described in paragraph 48(a) above was equivalent in all relevant respects to McMillan's acquisition of approximately 3,500,000 shares of Sun River's common stock (representing Pingel's proportionate interest in the shares of Sun River's common stock owned by Cicerone) for consideration equal to their contemporaneous market value.

- 50. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, Cicerone's transfer to Pingel of 350,000 shares of Sun River's common stock as described in paragraph 48(b) above was equivalent in all relevant respects to the sale by Cicerone of 350,000 shares of Sun River's common stock for consideration equal to their contemporaneous market value.
- 51. Sun River's best estimate for the contemporaneous market value of the common stock described in paragraphs 49-50 above is \$5.05 per share, such price being the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on April 8, 2011.

Brech Sells Shares: Sale of 100,000 Shares (May 2, 2011)

- 52. Upon information and belief based upon third-party reports received by Sun River, Brech sold approximately 100,000 shares of Sun River's common stock in one or more transactions during the months of April 2011 and May 2011.
- 53. The transaction or transactions described in paragraph 52 above were not reported to the SEC, and the precise circumstances of the transaction or transactions remain unknown to Sun River.
- 54. For purposes of the short-swing profit calculation set forth herein, Sun River estimates that Brech sold 100,000 shares of Sun River's common stock at a weighted average price of \$5.12 per share, such price being the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on May 2, 2011.

McMillan Sells NME: Sale of 2,851,666 Shares (June 30, 2011)

- 55. On or about June 30, 2011, McMillan entered into an agreement with a third-party purchaser pursuant to which McMillan sold to such third party 100% of the outstanding membership interests in NME for \$2,851,666.00 (the "NME Sale").
- 56. At the time of the NME Sale, NME's sole asset was 2,851,666 shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 57. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, the NME Sale was equivalent in all relevant respects to McMillan's sale of 2,851,666 shares of Sun River's common stock for aggregate consideration equal to \$2,851,666.00, or approximately \$1.00 per share.

<u>Cicerone Cancels the NME Option:</u> <u>Sale of 2,821,666 Shares</u> (October 28, 2011)

- 58. On or about October 28, 2011, Cicerone and NME entered into an agreement pursuant to which Cicerone, in consideration for value received from NME or its affiliates, cancelled the NME Option.
- 59. At the time the NME Option was cancelled, 2,821,666 shares of Sun River's common stock remained subject to it.
- 60. For purposes of Section 16 and the SEC's rules and regulations thereunder, Cicerone's cancellation of the NME Option was equivalent in all relevant respects to the sale by Cicerone of 2,821,666 shares of Sun River's common stock at the contemporaneous market price for such stock.

61. Sun River's best estimate for the contemporaneous market price of its common stock as described in paragraph 60 above is \$2.80 per share, such price being the last reported price of Sun River's publicly traded common stock on October 28, 2011.

Summary

62. The following table summarizes the Section 16 treatment of the transactions described in paragraphs 24-61 above:

Date of Transaction	Section 16 Treatment	Number of Shares	Price per Share
08/03/2010	Purchase	2,941,666	\$1.5500
08/03/2010	Purchase	3,175,567	\$1.5500
08/06/2010	Purchase	312,363	\$1.5000
09/21/2010	Sale	2,941,666	\$1.6000
09/21/2010	Purchase	2,941,666	\$1.6000
09/30/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$1.8000
09/30/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$1.8000
09/30/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$1.8000
10/19/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$1.9500
10/19/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$1.9500
11/30/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$2.2200
11/30/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$2.2200
12/31/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$2.7900
12/31/2010	Purchase	20,000	\$2.7900
01/03/2011	Purchase	20,000	\$2.5600
01/03/2011	Sale	7,000	\$2.4400
01/04/2011	Sale	6,500	\$2.6500
01/05/2011	Sale	2,500	\$2.7500
01/11/2011	Sale	1,000	\$2.7300

Date of Transaction	Section 16 Treatment	Number of Shares	Price per Share
01/12/2011	Sale	1,000	\$2.8000
02/03/2011	Sale	42,000	\$4.1200
02/08/2011	Purchase	2,851,666	\$0.0004
02/08/2011	Purchase	20,000	\$4.7000
02/08/2011	Purchase	20,000	\$4.7000
03/07/2011	Sale	6,337	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,733	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	7,723	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	10,812	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	8,158	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	16,832	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	11,050	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	8,792	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	8,119	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	7,129	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,178	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	5,980	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,535	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	5,743	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,535	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	8,119	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,733	\$5.0500
03/07/2011	Sale	6,099	\$5.0500
04/08/2011	Sale	350,000	\$5.0500
04/08/2011	Purchase	3,500,000	\$5.0500
05/02/2011	Sale	100,000	\$5.1200
06/30/2011	Sale	2,851,666	\$1.0000

D	ate of Transaction	Section 16 Treatment	Number of Shares	Price per Share
	10/28/2011	Sale	2,821,666	\$2.8000

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT)

- 63. Sun River realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-62 above.
- 64. Certain of the sales described in paragraphs 24-62 above occurred within six months of, and at prices higher than, certain of the purchases described in paragraphs 24-62 above.
- 65. Each Defendant had a pecuniary interest, directly or indirectly, in some or all of the shares of Sun River's common stock purchased and sold as described in paragraphs 24-62 above.
- 66. Under the "lowest-in, highest-out" method for computing realized profits pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Act, the Defendants realized recoverable profits as a result of the transactions described in paragraphs 24-62 above in an aggregate amount estimated to be equal to at least \$4.0 million.
- 67. Pursuant to Section 16(b) of the Act, the profits realized by the Defendants as described in paragraph 66 above inured to Sun River and are its lawful property, which the Defendants are liable to account for and repay.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT)

- 68. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-67 above.
- 69. Under Section 16(a) of the Act and Rule 16a-3(g)(1) thereunder, a person subject to Section 16 with respect to an issuer's securities generally must file a Form 4 with the SEC accurately disclosing any transaction in those securities before the end of the second business day following the day on which the subject transaction is executed.
- 70. Notwithstanding their obligations under Section 16(a) of the Act, the Defendants failed to disclose many of the transactions described in paragraphs 24-62 above timely, accurately, or at all. Examples of the Defendants' reporting deficiencies include, and are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Cicerone reported the transactions described in paragraph 38 above as exempt acquisitions under Rule 16b-3, even though Cicerone is not, and never has been, an officer or director of Sun River;
 - (b) All but five of the transactions described in paragraph 46 above were reported more than two business days (and in many cases more than two weeks) after they occurred;
 - (c) None of the transactions described in paragraphs 41-43 above or in paragraphs 55-57 above was reported at all;
 - (d) No Form 4 has ever been filed reporting any transaction in the name of McMillan, the Trust, or Brech.

- 71. In light of the deficiencies described in paragraph 70 above, Sun River adds this Second Claim for Relief as a precaution against the discovery during the course of this action of previously unreported, or inaccurately reported, short-swing transactions.
- 72. One or more of the Defendants purchased and sold shares of Sun River's common stock (or derivative securities thereof) within less than six months while a holder of in excess of 10% of the outstanding shares of Sun River's common stock.
- 73. The purchases and sales described in paragraph 72 above cannot be identified by Sun River with specificity because they have not been publicly reported, or have not been accurately reported, and because Defendants have failed or refused to disclose these trades (or the absence thereof) upon inquiry by Sun River.
- 74. Each Defendant had a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in some or all of the shares of Sun River's common stock purchased or sold as described in paragraph 72 above.
- 75. The purchases and sales described in paragraph 72 above may be matched against each other (or with other sales and purchases described herein) using the "lowest-in, highest-out" method to arrive at profits, their exact amount being unknown. which profits inured to and are recoverable by Sun River.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sun River respectfully requests a judgment:

(a) Requiring each Defendant to account for and pay over to Sun River the short-swing profit realized and retained by such Defendant in violation of

- Section 16(b) of the Act, together with appropriate pre- and post-judgment interest and the costs of this suit;
- (b) Running jointly and severally with respect to that portion of the

 Defendants' short-swing profits in which more than one Defendant has a
 pecuniary interest;
- (c) Awarding to Sun River its costs and disbursements including reasonable attorney's, accountant's, and expert witness fees; and
- (d) Granting to Sun River such other further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: February 10, 2012 New York, New York

HUNTER & KMIEC

By:

/s/ James A. Hunter

James A. Hunter 150 East 44th Street, No. 9A New York, New York 10017

Tel: (646) 666-0122 Fax: (646) 462-3356

E-Mail: hunter@hunterkmiec.com N.Y. State Bar No. 4346607

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc.

James E. Pennington SUN RIVER ENERGY, INC. 5950 Berkshire Lane, Suite 1650 Dallas, Texas 75225

Tel: (214) 369-7300 Fax: (214) 369-7301

General Counsel for Plaintiff Sun River Energy, Inc.